```
STATE OF OHIO,
 1
   COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA, ) SS: PATTON, C.L., J.
 2
   CITY OF CLEVELAND.
                       )
 3
 4
                      IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT
 5
 6
    CITY OF CLEVELAND,
 7
            Plaintiff,
 8
                                   Case Numbers:
       VS.
 9
    SALOME ARTEMIS ARRANT,
                                   2016-CRB-013733
    LISA CASTONON,
                                   2016-CRB-013730
10
                                   2016-CRB-013736
    DIYA CRUZ,
    EDWARD DIAZ,
                                    2016-CRB-013739
11
    COURTNEY DONELSON,
                                   2016-CRB-013734
                                   2016-CRB-013744
    STEVEN FRIDLEY,
    LINDA DAITSMAN,
12
                                    2016-CRB-013737
    ERNESTINE HAMILTON,
                               )
                                  2016-CRB-013746
13
    VICTORIA INGUANTA,
                                ) 2016-CRB-013735
    GREGORY JOHNSON,
                                   2016-CRB-013728
                               )
14
    RICHARD NEWBERGER,
                               ) 2016-CRB-013732
    ZULLAY PICHARDO,
                                ) 2016-CRB-013741
15
    RAFAEL SCHILLER-LADEN,
                                )
                                  2016-CRB-013742
    JULIE LEROY,
                                  2016-CRB-013705
16
           Defendants.
17
18
19
   Transcript of digitally recorded proceedings had
20
   Before the Honorable Judge Charles L. Patton on
21
   Thursday, January 26, 2017, in Courtroom 13-D.
22
23
2.4
25
   Demetrius D. Longmire
                                                EXHIBIT 2
```

```
APPEARANCES:
 1
 2
         On behalf of the plaintiff:
              Kimberly Barnett-Mills, Chief Police
 3
              Prosecutor
              By: James L. Hewitt, III, 1st Assistant,
 4
              Police Prosecutor
 5
         On behalf of defendant Salome Artemis Arrant:
 6
             Jerome Emoff, Esq.
 7
             James Pasch, Esq.
         On behalf of defendant Diya Cruz:
 8
 9
             Gordon Friedman, Esq.
         On behalf of defendant Edward Diaz:
10
11
             Sarah Gelsomino, Esq.
         On behalf of defendant Courtney Donelson:
12
13
             James Alexander, Esq.
             James Hardiman, Esq.
14
         On behalf of defendant Steven Fridley:
15
             Donna Taylor Kolis, Esq.
16
         On behalf of defendant Linda Daitsman:
17
             Christian Grostic, Esq.
18
         On behalf of defendant Ernestine Hamilton:
19
             Jacqueline C. Greene, Esq.
20
             Sean C. Buchanan, Esq.
21
         On behalf of defendant Victoria Inguanta:
22
             Michael Murray, Esq.
             Reem Subei, Esq.
23
         On behalf of defendant Richard Newberger:
24
             Kevin J. Kovach, Esq.
25
             Mark R. DeVan, Esq.
```

```
On behalf of defendant Zullay Pichardo:
 1
 2
              Rufus Sims, Esq.
              Marty Gelfand, Esq.
 3
         On behalf of defendant Rafael Schiller-Laden:
 4
 5
              Steven D. Shafron, Esq.
         On behalf of defendant Julie Leroy:
 6
 7
              Judith Lipton, Esq.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
   Demetrius D. Longmire
```

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE BAILIFF: Good morning, your 3 Honor. 4 THE COURT: Good morning, 5 everybody. MR. MURRAY: 6 Good morning, your Honor. THE COURT: 8 Nice to see you all. 9 Welcome to Cleveland Municipal Court. I'm 10 Judge Patton. We're here for a Motion 11 Hearing. Motion to Dismiss. Hopefully, the 12 attorneys have advised all their clients of 13 their constitutional rights so I will not go over them this morning. 14 15 It's rather warm in here already. I'm 16 going to ask my officers to open the doors so 17 we can get some air circulating. If anybody 18 wants to get up and leave because you're 19 uncomfortable, please feel free to do so. 20 We are recording this event today. 21 Therefore, it's kind of important that you 22 keep the noise level down so the speakers 23 work properly. So we're going to ask that 2.4 people not talk, even the attorneys. 25 Hopefully, if you have some comments to make,

1	you will direct those comments to the
2	appropriate spokesperson and we will proceed
3	from there.
4	Before we get started, opening
5	statements may be in order.
6	Counsel.
7	MR. MURRAY: Thank you, your Honor.
8	Your Honor, first thing I'd like to do
9	is move for separation of witnesses.
10	THE COURT: Granted.
11	Mr. Prosecutor, just two?
12	MR. HEWITT: The Chief well, the
13	Chief is not I'd like him to stay.
14	THE COURT: Yeah.
15	MR. HEWITT: Lieutenant can sit in
16	the hallway.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very
18	much. All right.
19	Lieutenant, would you mind waiting in
20	the hallway for a moment, please?
21	LT. GAERTNER: Absolutely.
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Did you
23	put the caption on the
24	THE BAILIFF: On the?
25	THE COURT: record?

1	THE BAILIFF: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Murray.
3	MR. MURRAY: Thank you, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Let me ask.
5	Mr. Prosecutor, are there any other
6	preliminary matters we need to take care of?
7	MR. HEWITT: I don't think so, your
8	Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
10	Would you put your name on the record,
11	please?
12	MR. HEWITT: James H. Hewitt, III,
13	First Assistant Prosecutor City of Cleveland.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	MR. MURRAY: Your Honor, would you
16	like all the defense lawyers to first, at
17	least, identify themselves for the record and
18	who they represent?
19	THE COURT: Mr. Murray, thank you
20	very much and we will do that.
21	MR. MURRAY: My name is Michael
22	Murray and I represent the defendant Victoria
23	Inguanta.
24	MR. SHAFRON: Steven Shafron on
25	behalf of Rafael Schiller-Laden.

1	MS. GREENE: Jacqueline Green on
2	behalf of Ernestine Hamilton.
3	MS. GELSOMINO: Sarah Gelsimino on
4	behalf of Edward Diaz.
5	MR FRIEDMAN: Gordon Friedman on
6	behalf of Diya Cruz.
7	MR. DeVAN: Mark DeVan on behalf
8	of defendant Richard Newberger.
9	MR. KOVACH: Kevin Kovach also on
10	behalf of Richard Newberger.
11	MR. ALEXANDER: James Alexander on
12	behalf of Courtney Donelson.
13	MR. HARDIMAN: James Hardiman also on
14	behalf of Courtney Donelson.
15	MS. KOLIS: Donna Kolis on behalf
16	of Steven Fridley.
17	MS. SUBEI: Reem Subei on behalf
18	of Victoria Inguanta.
19	MR. GROSTIC: Christian Grostic on
20	behalf of Linda Daitsman.
21	MS. LIPTON: Judith Lipton on
22	behalf of Julie Leroy with our certified
23	legal interns who are the highest
24	(inaudible).
25	MR. EMOFF: Jerome Emoff on behalf

1	of Artemis Arrant.
2	MR. PASCH: James Pasch also on
3	behalf of Artemis Arrant.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
5	MR. DELFAND: Marty Delfand on
6	behalf of Zullay Pichardo.
7	MR. BUCHANAN: Sean Buchanan on
8	behalf of Ernestine Hamilton.
9	MR. SIMS: Rufus Sims on behalf
10	of Zullay Pichardo.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	Mr. Murray.
13	MR. MURRAY: Thank you, your Honor.
14	We appreciate your time and attention.
15	We know you've read the briefs and so you
16	know something about the issues in this case.
17	But this is a 1st Amendment case.
18	This is a case involving freedom of
19	speech, freedom of expression, the right to
20	assemble peaceably, to ask government for
21	redress of grievances during a time when it
22	was most appropriate to express all types of
23	viewpoints, mainly during the course of the
24	Republican National Convention, which was
25	held here in Cleveland, as we all know, in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

July of 2016. All of the defendants here who are charged with various offenses, including Disorderly Conduct and Obstructing Official Business, were engaged in constitutionally-protected, peaceful protest activities as was their right under the 1st Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and under Articles 3 and 11. sorry, Article 1 Section 3 and 11 of the Ohio Constitution. These individuals have strongly held views about society and about the Republican National Convention and about the things that are wrong with the governmental system as they see it and they came to Cleveland recently to express those views. And they did so under circumstances in which they had a right to do so.

On July the 20th of 2016, at about 4:00 p.m., this group assembled on a public street which had been specifically designated for expressive activities by the City of Cleveland and the other law enforcement agencies that were involved in the security for the RNC. This was exactly where the City said you could engage in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

constitutionally-protected expressive activities. It was at the corner of East 4th and Prospect. Vehicular traffic was prohibited during that time precisely because that was going to be a place where expressive activities could occur. This group, they had announced in advance, put out a press release that they were going to assemble at 4:00 p.m. at that place and that as part of their constitutionally-protected protest they were going to burn an American flag, which is their right. It was going to be burned by a gentlemen by the name of Gregory Joey Johnson.

That's a name that's of some significance because in 1984, Mr. Johnson burned an American flag at the Republican National Convention there in Dallas, Texas and he was arrested for desecrating the flag and his case went all the way up to the United States Supreme Court and the US Supreme Court in Texas v. Johnson affirmed that he had an absolute 1st Amendment right to burn the flag as part of a protest that he was engaged in against the status quo that he

2.4

was protesting against. That happened in -we're talking 1989, I believe, was the final
decision in that case. And so, it's been the
law for all those many years that flag
burning as a means of protest is
constitutionally-protected and the City knew
that or should have known that because it's
been on the books for that many years.

So, on that day and at that time, this group assembled with that protest in mind and they dawned shirts at that juncture which expressed viewpoints as well, and they all assembled there and they began -- they formed a circle; and the reason they formed a circle was so that there would be a safe space within the circle in which to engage in the flag burning.

And they began to give a speech -
Mr. Johnson began to give a speech and they

began to chant and he began his chant, it was

"1-2-3-4, slavery, genocide and war.

5-6-7-8, America was never great." And then

he explained the viewpoint that the group who

had assembled was espousing in support of

that chant. And then as the events unfolded,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

there was a circle, what we call a safety circle and in the middle of the circle, where it could be done peacefully and safely,

Mr. Johnson and one of the other members of the group lit an American flag as part of the protest which he then was going to hold up and explain that as part of the protest, that is what this group views should be done with the American flag.

At that moment, even before it would appear from the video the actual lighting of the flag occurred, but certainly immediately upon the lighting of the flag, without any justification, law enforcement immediately broke into that circle and extinguished the flames on the American flag; before there was any emergency or any other justification for that to be done. It was done in order to prevent the flag burning from being completed and the protest from being completed. was put to constitutionally-protected expression at the most important time in the nation, at least at that juncture, in July of 2016, when the Republicans were meeting to nominate Donald Trump as President.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

That represented a prior restraint because a halt was put to peaceful, constitutionally-protected speech. And the justifications that ultimately were offered do not withstand scrutiny under the Supreme Court decisions.

The case law is clear. Public safety is not an excuse to interfere with constitutionally-protected speech. The fact that there may be others in the crowd who are so opposed and hostile to the message being communicated does not give law enforcement the right or power to interfere with the Constitutionally-protected speech. contrary, they're duty according to the US Supreme Court is to protect the protesters from a hostile crowd. So this notion that they were -- this was going to incite violence or that there was a danger presented by the fact that there was a crowd there that was watching this is not an excuse for interfering with their constitutionally-protected expression. that's what happened.

And ultimately, all of the defendants

2.4

who are assembled here in this courtroom in this case, who's been identified by their counsel who entered their appearances, were ultimately arrested. They all participated in this protest. They were all chanting.

They were all part of the flag burning incident that was designed to communicate an extremely important message that they wanted the world to see and they were arrested on charges of Disorderly Conduct and Obstructing Official Business.

And the Supreme Court has made it clear that you can't use statutes or ordinances like that as a means to stifle expression and that prosecutions brought under broad ordinances or statutes like those, that are predicated upon constitutionally-protected expression cannot stand, and a Court then has a duty, before a case ever gets to trial, to hold that those statutes in their application are unconstitutional under the State and Federal Constitutions and to also hold that the events that occurred represented a prior restraint that cannot be tolerated.

And under those circumstances, we

respectfully submit that after your Honor hears the evidence and views the visual evidence that we will present, we will have demonstrated that we are entitled to an order from this Court dismissing all the charges here.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Mr. Hewitt.

2.4

MR. HEWITT: Thank you.

May it please the Court.

The RNC convention was in Cleveland

July 18th through the 20th here in Cleveland.

The City of Cleveland was certainly prepared to meet this challenge and of course, East

4th Street was considered a hot spot for many restaurants, media outlets and live broadcasts. East 4th Street was also the delegate entrance to the Q Arena where most of the people would funnel into the Q. Flag burning itself is permissible under the US and the Ohio Constitution as a free form of expression.

Your Honor, this flag burning was not done in a safe environment. Police learned

that at least two individuals and others had been burned. The protesters were blocking the secured access to the Q Arena and the secured gates had to be locked. The actual flag burning itself had been concluded, therefore, the police did not shutdown any free speech. The police needed to assess the situation and when the individuals failed to disperse, was -- arrests were carried out. Police acted in the interest of public safety to protect the public and they did not know beforehand, thus there's no restraint on prior -- on free speech.

The testimony will be established through the police Chief regarding the orders to disperse and then the testimony of a police supervisor who has reviewed the incident reports regarding three of those protesters and in fact, he was also involved with attempting to -- when the flag burners themselves caught fire and he was attempting to stop the flames that had gotten rapidly out of control.

Once you hear this testimony, we're convinced that the Court will deny the Motion

1	
1	to Dismiss. Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
3	Mr. Murray, who would you like to call
4	as your first witness?
5	MR. MURRAY: Your Honor, at this
6	time, the defendants will call Chief Calvin
7	Williams.
8	THE COURT: Chief Williams, will
9	you take the stand, please?
10	CHIEF WILLIAMS: Yes, your Honor.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CALVIN WILLIAMS, 1 2 having been first duly sworn, was examined 3 and testified as follows: 4 THE COURT: Good morning, Chief. 5 CHIEF WILLIAMS: Good morning, your 6 Honor. 7 THE COURT: You may proceed. MR. MURRAY: 8 Thank you, your Honor. 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. MURRAY: 11 For the record, please state your name and business address. 12 13 Calvin Daniel Williams, address is 1300 Ontario, 14 Room 929. Cleveland, Ohio. 15 And you are the Chief of Police for the City of Cleveland; is that correct? 16 17 Α Yes, I am. 18 When did you assume those duties? 19 February 10th of 2014. Α 20 Just generally, describe what the duties of the Q 21 Chief of Police encompass? 22 Generally, the Chief of Police is responsible for 23 the efficient operations of the entire Division 2.4 of Police and all of its personnel. 25 And approximately how many police officers and

other employees are there in the City of 1 2 Cleveland? 3 There are approximately 1500 sworn law 4 enforcement officers and about 300 civilian 5 employees. 6 And to whom do you report? 7 Α My direct support is the Director of Public 8 Safety for the City of Cleveland. 9 And that is whom? Q Michael McGrath. 10 Α 11 Now, I want to call your attention to July of 12 2016, the Republican National Convention was here 13 in the City of Cleveland. Correct? 14 Α Yes. 15 And as the Chief of Police, you were involved in 16 extensive planning for that convention. Correct? 17 Α Correct. 18 And you coordinated with numerous other law 19 enforcement agencies? 20 Yes. Α 21 That would include the FBI? 22 Yes. Α 23 That would include the Secret Service? Q 24 Yes. Α

That would include the Highway Patrol, the State

Highway Patrol? 1 2 Yes. Α 3 That would include the Sheriff's Department? 4 Yes. Α 5 And many other law enforcements from places 6 across the country who came to assist you and the 7 other law enforcement officials during that week. Correct? 8 9 That's correct. Now, turning your attention to July 20, 2016, 10 11 which is the date that brings us together today. 12 There were a number of arrests in the City of 13 Cleveland in connection with the Republican 14 National Convention. Correct? 15 That's correct. And the arrests included the arrest of numerous 16 17 protesters. Correct? 18 Those were the only arrests concerning the 19 convention, yes. 20 And those are the -- among others, all the Q 21 defendants who are here today, were among those 22 protesters who were arrested on July the 20, 23 2016. Correct? 24 17 protesters, correct. Α 25 That includes the defendants who's been

```
identified today. Correct?
1
2
   Α
        Correct.
3
               Now -- and this was all arising out of
        Okav.
4
        events occurring in connection with a protest
5
        that involved burning an American flag. Correct?
6
        That's the way it started, yes.
7
   Q
        And you would agree, Chief, that burning the
8
        American flag as a form of protest is protected
9
        by the 1st Amendment to the United States
10
        Constitution and by the Ohio Constitution as
11
        well. Correct?
12
        Correct.
   Α
13
        And this event occurred at the corner of Prospect
14
        and East 4th Street. Correct?
15
   Α
        Yes.
16
        And that, in fact, was an area specifically set
17
        aside for expressive activities; was it not?
18
        That general area, yes.
19
        I want to show you what has been marked --
   Q
20
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     May I approach, your
21
             Honor?
22
             THE COURT:
                                     You may.
23
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     And just for the
24
             record, I've supplied copies all of our
25
             exhibits to the prosecution and I have copies
```

for your Honor, too, to follow along as well, 1 2 which I'll be glad to hand up. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 Chief, I've handed you what has been marked for Q 5 identification as Defendant's Exhibit 1. Can you 6 identify that as a press release that was put out 7 identifying a number of issues involving security for the Republican National Convention? 8 9 Yes, it is. Specifically, traffic restrictions. 10 And if you would turn to page seven of 11 Defendant's Exhibit 1, please. Do you have that? Yes. 12 Α 13 There's a -- there's a section entitled public 14 viewing area, Republic National Convention 15 Complex towards the bottom of that page. 16 Correct? 17 Yes. 18 It says that starting at 8:00 a.m. on Monday, 19 July 18th through 2:00 a.m. on Friday, July 22nd, 20 the below locations are gathering spaces 21 available to the public for expressive 22 activities, as well as for sightseeing. Correct? 23 Yes. Α 24 And if you go down to the third bullet point, one 25 of the areas available to the public for

expressive activities and sightseeing was on 1 2 Prospect Avenue, the segment that is closed to 3 vehicle traffic between Ontario Street and East 4 9th Street. Correct? 5 Yes. Α 6 So, Prospect Avenue at around East 4th Street 7 would be included in the area that was set aside for expressive activities? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 And, in fact, vehicular traffic was prohibited at 11 that juncture precisely among other reasons so 12 that expressive activities could occur on those 13 streets. Correct? 14 Among other reasons, yes. 15 Now, I want to show you what now has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 2. 16 17 MR. MURRAY: I'll provide a copy 18 for your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 And Chief Williams, can you identify what Q 21 Plaintiff's -- Defendant's Exhibit 2 is? 22 It's the General Police Order for the 23 Division of Police and the subject is crowd 2.4 management and the protection of constitutional 25 rights.

- 1 0 And this was an order issued June 13, 2016. 2 Correct? 3 Correct. 4 And it identifies you as the Chief of Police. Q 5 Correct? Yes. I issued the order. 6 7 Q This is an order that you had reviewed and 8 ultimately approved and issued under your name. 9 Correct? 10 Correct. 11 And the purpose of this order was to provide 12 information about crowd management and the 13 protection of constitutional rights particularly 14 as it pertained to the upcoming Republican 15 National Convention. Correct? 16 Yes. Α 17 Okay. And you identify in this document the 18 purpose, do you not, in the first paragraph? 19 The first three paragraphs, correct. Α 20 And you say the purpose is to provide Q 21 guidance on safely and effectively managing
- guidance on safely and effectively managing
 crowds that assemble for the purposes of carrying
 out constitutionally-protected activities of free
 speech and assembly. Correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- The Cleveland Division of Police Division 1 0 2 recognizes the right of free speech and assembly 3 and shall actively protect people in the exercise 4 of those rights. 5 Α Yes. 6 Correct? That was the policy of the City of --
- 7 of the police. Correct?
- 8 That still is the policy, yes. Α
- 9 During marches, demonstrations, protests or 10 rallies, the priorities of the Division are to 11 preserve the peace, protect life and property and 12 uphold the rights of those assembled. Correct?
- 13 Α Yes.
- 14 At the very bottom of that page you have a 15 section entitled "Definitions". Correct?
- 16 Correct. Α
- 17 And one of the definitions that you promulgated 18 in this order was the definition of a peaceful 19 protest. Correct?
- 20 Yes. Α
- 21 And you defined that as the act of expressing 22 disapproval with a statement or action without 23 the use of violence, also known as non-violent 2.4 resistance or non-violent action. Correct?
- 25 Α Correct.

1 Q Then you wrote, it is the policy of the Cleveland 2 Division of Police to support and facilitate 3 peaceful protests. Correct? 4 Correct. Α 5 Now, if you turn to page four of Defendant's Exhibit 2, please. After identifying the purpose 6 7 and providing certain definitions, you actually then promulgated the policy that was in effect 8 9 then and would have been in effect at the 10 Republican National Convention. Correct? 11 Α Correct. That's still in effect. And still in effect? 12 Q 13 Α Yes. 14 And -- by the way, did this policy replace a 15 previous policy or is this a new policy? This is a new policy. 16 Α 17 So the policy begins, does it not, as it pertains 18 to crowd management and to protection of 19 constitutional rights, it is the policy of the 20 Cleveland Division of Police. Correct? 21 Yes. 22 And then there's a number of bullet points. Q 23 Correct? 24 Yes. Α

Now the first bullet point says, it is your

policy to insure that all citizens are afforded 1 2 their constitutional 1st, 4th and 14th Amendment 3 rights? 4 Α Yes. 5 You go on to say in brief, these protections 6 involve citizens right to freedom of speech, 7 peaceable assembly, freedom from unreasonable 8 search and seizure, as well as protections 9 against the deprivation of a citizen's life, 10 liberty or property without due process of law 11 and equal protection thereof. Correct? 12 Yes. Α 13 You then, in the second bullet point, state that 14 individuals in groups are guaranteed a right to 15 organize and participate in peaceful 1st 16 Amendment assemblies on the streets, sidewalks 17 and other public ways and spaces of the City of 18 Cleveland. Correct? 19 Yes. Α 20 Then you say, and to engage in 1st Amendment Q 21 assembly near to the object of their protest so 22 they may be seen and heard. 23 Yes. Α 24 Correct? 25 Α Yes.

1 Q So your policy recognizes the right of protesters 2 to choose the place where they're going to carry 3 out their protest where in their opinion their 4 message will reach the audience that they intend 5 to reach. Correct? 6 To a certain extent, yes. 7 Q Okay. But you do recognize that in part, the policy that you're trying to promulgate 8 9 recognizes that where protesters choose to 10 protest can be a very important part of their 11 protest? 12 It can be, yes. Α 13 Now, if you go to page five of Defendant's 14 Exhibit 2, there's a bullet point at the top. 15 Correct? 16 Yes. Α 17 And that has to do with training? 18 Yes. 19 And there's a number of issues that are described 20 in that paragraph as to what kind of training 21 will be provided to the officers under your 22 charge. Correct? 23 Correct. Α 24 And if you go towards the bottom of that page, 25 that bullet point, one of the things you say is

additional training in crowd management, civil 1 2 disturbances and 1st Amendment Rights shall be 3 provided as necessary and in advance of planned 4 events. Correct? 5 Correct. Α And you say, the Division shall ensure that all 6 7 officers adhere to the policies, procedures, and training as they relate to use of force, crowd 8 9 management, handling of civil disturbances and 10 1st Amendment protections. Correct? 11 Α Yes. 12 And so, did you provide that training to your 13 officers in advance of the Republican National 14 Convention? 15 Α Yes, extensively. 16 I'm sorry? 17 Α Extensively. 18 What form did that training take? 19 That training was in the form of both written Α 20 documents, classroom participation, classroom 21 documents and also as crowd management, actual 22 physical exercises. 23 And were all the officers under your charge Q 24 provided with a copy of this General Police Order 25 of June 13, 2016?

- All officers of the Cleveland Division of Police
 as well as all officers that were assembled and
 came to Cleveland to assist for the Republican
 National Convention, yes.
- And so you expected all of those officers to read this, familiarize themselves with it, and when they were out in the field, to follow it?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q I want to show you what has been marked as
 10 Defendant's Exhibit 3, Chief. And I'll ask you
 11 if you can please identify that for the record.
- 12 A Yes. This is the Crowd Management Protection of constitutional rights Training Manual.
- Q And is this -- this is like a PowerPoint presentation?
- 16 A Yes, it is.
- 17 Q So, you would have officers in a classroom

 18 setting and someone would speak and go through

 19 this in a PowerPoint?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q And who would you have as instructors?
- 22 A Our training section, certified officers from our training section.
- 24 Q Okay. And so, if you will go to --
- unfortunately, these are not necessarily

- paginated. But if you go to, 1, 2, 3 -- the 7th page that actually has an illustration and is entitled "Policy".
- 4 A Yes.
- And so, if you go to the next page, there's a heading of policy and it says as it pertains to crowd management and the protection of constitutional rights, it is the policy of the Cleveland Division of Police. Correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And then the next page has some bullet points.
- 12 Correct?
- 13 A That's correct.
- And it provides that it's the policy of the

 Division of Police to ensure that all citizens

 are afforded their constitutional 1st, 4th and

 14th Amendment rights. Correct?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 Q And it goes on to say in brief, these protections 20 involve citizens right to freedom of speech?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Correct? Peaceable assembly. Correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q Freedom from unreasonable search and seizure.
- 25 Correct?

Yes. 1 Α 2 Then if you go to the next page, you identify the 3 policy as it continues onto that next page. 4 Correct? 5 Yes. This document follows along with the Α General Police Order. 6 7 Yes? Q 8 Α Yes. 9 And this one says that individuals and groups are 10 quaranteed a right to organize and participate in 11 peaceful 1st Amendment assemblies on the streets, 12 sidewalks and other public ways and spaces of the 13 City of Cleveland and to engage in 1st Amendment 14 assembly near to the object of their protest so 15 they may be seen and heard. Correct? 16 Again, this training document mirrors the General 17 Police Order it pertains to crowd management and 18 protects the constitutional rights. 19 And that's what it contains, correct? Q 20 Yes. Α 21 And again, the people that were given this and 22 were provided with this PowerPoint, the officers, 23 were told that one of the rights that the 2.4 citizens have is to engage in 1st Amendment

assembly near to the object of their protest so

- 1 that they may be seen and heard. Correct?
- 2 A Again, it follows the General Police Order as it
- 3 pertains to those policies and procedures. Yes.
- 4 Q But that is one of the policies. Correct?
- 5 A Yes, it is.
- 6 Q Now, Chief, I want to show you what has been
- 7 marked as Defendant's Exhibit 4. I'll ask you to
- 8 please identify that document, please.
- 9 A Yes. This is a City of Cleveland's safety
- 10 preparedness update in preparation for the
- 11 Republican National Convention.
- 12 | Q And this is something that you reviewed and
- approved. Correct?
- 14 A No. I did not approve this. This is a document
- 15 issued by the City of Cleveland, not by the
- 16 Division of Police.
- 17 Q Okay. Well, did you in some way disprove of it?
- 18 A No, I didn't.
- 19 Q Were you -- did you review its contents?
- 20 A Yes, I reviewed it.
- 21 Q Did you find anything in it that was
- 22 objectionable?
- 23 A No, not that I can recall at this time.
- 24 | Q Okay. Now, I would ask you to turn to page 12 of
- Defendant's Exhibit 4, please. And you see

that's a page entitled Law Enforcement 1 2 Coordination Overview, Division of Police. 3 Correct. 4 And you reviewed that page. Correct? Q 5 Yes. Α 6 And is that page something that you would affirm? 7 Yes. Α 8 And one of the things that that page says is that 9 in the third bullet point, anyone wishing to 10 exercise their 1st Amendment rights will be able 11 to do so and the City of Cleveland will assist them to ensure a safe environment. Correct? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 Now -- then if you would go to page 39 of that 15 document. That is a page entitled Public Safety 16 Training and Preparation for RNC, Division of 17 Police. Correct? 18 Correct. Α 19 Again, it is affirmed there that the Division of Q 20 Police and employees training includes at the 21 bottom the bullet point reads 1st Amendment, free 22 speech, freedom of assembly and over to the next 23 page, 4th Amendment right to privacy, reasonable 2.4 search and seizure of person, place or thing. 14

Amendment, due process. Equal protection.

Correct? 1 2 That's correct. 3 So, the Division of Police had a policy that the 4 Division will assist people to insure a safe 5 environment for them to stage their protests and other 1st Amendment protected activity. Correct? 6 7 Α The Division of Police has always had that policy 8 prior to including the convention and after the 9 convention, yes. 10 So, the document says that the police officers 11 will be trained on 1st Amendment rights. 12 training was given to them on 1st Amendment 13 rights? 14 I would have to go back through the lesson plan 15 for the specific training. But as you can see, 16 some of the training is listed here in this 17 document. Other training is listed in our 18 training documents from our Training Academy. 19 But all officers were given specific 1st 20 Amendment training as it pertains to the 21 convention or any other protest activities in the 22 city. 23 Now, there were a number of protests during the 24 Republican National Convention. Correct? 25 Α Yes, there were.

1 Q And some of the protesters, putting our protest 2 aside, were expressing what some might regard to 3 be controversial viewpoints. Correct? 4 Yes. Α 5 And your department insured that, putting our 6 protest aside, that those other protests could be 7 carried out in a way that other people didn't 8 physically interfere with those protests. 9 Correct? 10 To the best of our ability, yes. 11 I mean, for example, there was a protest by the 12 West Bureau Baptist Church during the convention. 13 Correct? 14 Yes. And that is a -- an organization that often 15 16 pickets the funerals of service men who -- or 17 women who have died as a form of protest against 18 gay rights and gay people. Correct? 19 Yes. Α 20 And so, you knew that that organization would be Q 21 protesting in a way that might cause hostile 22 crowds to gather in opposition --23 MR. HEWITT: Objection, your Honor. How would he know what in the mind of --24 25 THE COURT: I'll overrule the

objection. He can answer it if he knows. 1 2 You knew that a protest like that might cause 3 other people who disagree with them to be on the 4 scene. Correct? 5 Well, we knew that all potential protests in the Α city during that time period could draw counter 6 7 protesters. 8 Right. Whenever you were aware that that Q 9 situation might arise, your officers would do 10 your best to make sure that the counter 11 protesters didn't prevent the protesters 12 themselves from carrying out their protest. 13 Correct? 14 When we were aware of those demonstrations, yes. 15 So, in other words, you would protect the right 16 of say, the West Bureau Baptist Church to protest 17 free of being physically interfered with by those 18 who disagreed with their message? 19 Α That is our policy, yes. 20 Because that's one of the things that these Q 21 documents say, is that you're going to assist 22 people in the exercise of their 1st Amendment 23 rights to make sure that they can carry out those 2.4 rights without inappropriate interference by 25 others?

- 1 A That is the policy of the Cleveland Division of Police.
- Now you also had to concern yourself with the people who were expressing a Second Amendment viewpoint by open carry. Correct?
- 6 A That's correct.
- And so, you did your best to make sure that their rights to open carry were protected and that they did so in a peaceful manner?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q The protest that brings us together today was a flag burning protest; is that correct?
- 13 A That's what I'm told, yes.
- 14 Q Well, that's what you learned, isn't it?
- 15 A After the fact, yes.
- 16 Q And that you understood to be a constitutional right. Correct?
- 18 A That's correct.
- And under your policy then, it would have been
 the Police Department's obligation to insure that
 they could carry out that protest safely, without
 others who might disagree with that protest,
- interfering with -- physically interfering with
- that protest. Correct?
- 25 A If we're aware of that type of protest, yes.

1 0 Well, in fact --2 MR. MURRAY: May I approach, your 3 Honor? 4 THE COURT: You may. Thank you. 5 I want to show you what has been marked as Q 6 Defendant's Exhibit 12. Have you seen that 7 before, Chief? 8 I honestly can't say whether I saw this or not. Α 9 We saw probably thousands of documents before the 10 convention, during the convention and after. 11 Q There was -- your officers did become aware 12 however, that a flag burning protest was being 13 planned before it actually occurred? 14 Yes, we did. 15 Okay. And that's what this flier indicates; does 16 it not? 17 That's what this particular flier indicates. 18 don't see the date that this was actually issued. 19 I see the date, time -- the date, time, location 20 of the actual protest, but there were, to my 21 knowledge, a couple different groups that were 22 planning to burn a flag on that particular day. 23 Okay. And your officers were made aware of those Q 24 plans, correct, to the extent that they were able 25 to be told?

- 1 Well, they were specifically made aware of the Α 2 plan that was not this plan, for one single 3 individual that was going to burn a flag at 4th 4 and Prospect, yes. 5 Okay. Did you become aware of that as well? Q 6 Yes. Α 7 And did you instruct your officers to make sure 8 that they insured that the person who was going 9 to burn the flag could do so without physically 10 being interfered with? 11 Α That particular gentlemen was identified and, 12 yes, his constitutional rights were protected. 13 And was there a flag burning? 14 No, there was not. 15 Okay. So, that was at East 4th and Prospect as 16 well --17 Α Yes. -- where that was to be carried out? 18 19 Α Yes.
- 20 Q Now, did you train your officers as part of this
- 21 1st Amendment training that flag burning is
- 22 protected by the 1st Amendment?
- 23 A Yes.
- $24 \mid Q$ How long have you known that to be the case,
- 25 yourself?

```
1
        I can't give you an exact date, but for some time
   Α
2
        now.
3
        Long before the Republic National Convention came
4
        to Cleveland?
5
        Yes.
   Α
 6
        So your officers should have known -- your
7
        officers on the street should have known that
8
        flag burning as a form of protest is
9
        constitutionally-protected?
10
        Yes, and they did know, yes.
11
        All right. You have -- we've been provided with
12
        some audio clips from the radio, the messages
13
        that were going back and forth. You have a
14
        system of radio communication that can be copied
15
        and sent to us in Discovery. Correct?
16
        Yes.
   Α
17
        I'd like to play -- Defendant's Exhibit 5 is such
18
        an audio clip and I'd like to play it for you and
19
        then I'll ask you the question.
20
        Okay.
   Α
21
22
                          (Audio playing)
23
24
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     Can we get the sound
25
             up?
```

```
UNIDENTIFIED MAN:
                                     That's as high as it
1
2
             goes, I believe.
3
             THE COURT:
                                     Put the microphone
4
             next to it.
5
        Did you hear that, Chief?
   Q
6
        No. I heard the end of something.
   Α
7
   Q
        Can you go back and play that section?
8
        believe, if you listen carefully, you'll hear an
9
        officer asking if it's legal to burn a flag and
10
        the answer comes back, no.
11
12
                          (Audio playing)
13
14
        Did you hear that?
15
        (Inaudible).
16
        All right. Did you hear that, Chief?
17
        Yeah, I heard that communication, but we have
18
        three different officers talking there. So, I
19
        don't know what question he was actually
20
        answering.
21
        Well, let's assume for a moment. We heard the
22
        question. We heard the question, is it
23
        prohibitive to burn the flag?
24
        Right. Then I heard other communication about an
   Α
        entirely different incident then I heard a
25
```

- response after that. I don't know to which incident.
- 3 Q Correct. But, the only question that you heard
- was, is it prohibitive to burn a flag. Correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And the only answer that you heard was, yes it is
- 7 prohibitive per law or something to that effect?
- 8 A Yes, I did hear that.
- 9 Q If that answer was to the question of whether it
- 10 was prohibitive to burn a flag, that would have
- 11 been an incorrect answer. Correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q I want to show you what has been marked as
- 14 Defendant's Exhibit 11. One of the defendant's
- 15 in this case in this courtroom is of a woman by
- the name of Julie Leroy and do you see her
- picture there with one of your officers?
- 18 | A Yes, I do.
- 19 | Q And do you see that there's a whiteboard that has
- 20 certain information on it?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q And then there's a vehicle behind that?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q What is that whiteboard used for?
- 25 A It's a whiteboard for information about the

1 arrested person. 2 That would have been done at the scene? 3 Or somewhere close to the scene. 4 Do you see then in the case of this Q Okay. 5 defendant Julie Leroy, one of the charges for 6 which she allegedly was going to be arrested was 7 desecration of flag? 8 I see that, but that person was not charged with Α 9 There's no charge for that. 10 But someone thought -- one of your officers 11 thought that she could be charged with desecration of a flag because he/she wrote it on 12 13 the whiteboard. Correct? 14 I don't know exactly who wrote it on the 15 whiteboard, but they were incorrect. As I look 16 at this picture, this is from Ontario and 17 Prospect. I can see the walkway through the 18 Casino background, so this had nothing to do --19 this did not have anything to do with the East 20 4th and Prospect incident. 21 But, there was -- but Miss Leroy was 22 engaged in another protest involving a flag 23 burning at the other location; isn't that true? 24 That's what I've been told, yes. Α 25 And that's where she got arrested. Correct?

```
1
        Correct.
   Α
2
        And the officer who arrested her held up a
3
        whiteboard that said the charges included
4
        desecration of flag. Correct?
5
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     Speaks for itself,
 6
             your Honor.
7
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you, Mr. Hewitt.
                  Chief, if you could answer the question.
8
9
             CHIEF WILLIAMS:
                                     Sure, your Honor.
10
             think I already answered it. That's what's
11
             written on the board, but that person, Miss
12
             Leroy, was not charged with that offense.
13
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you.
14
        Now I want to show you -- does the Cleveland
15
        Police Department in this day and age have a
        Twitter account?
16
17
        Yes, we do.
18
        Do you tweet from time to time?
19
        I don't tweet, but officers from the Division do.
   Α
20
        The Department does?
   Q
21
        Yes.
22
        I want to show you what has been marked as
   Q
23
        Defendant's Exhibits 6 and 7. Defendant's
24
        Exhibit 6, in fact, is a tweet posted by the
        Cleveland Police Department on July 20th.
25
```

Correct? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 And, in fact, there's a photograph that shows 4 Flannery's Pub. Correct? 5 Yes. Α 6 That is, in fact, a restaurant that is located 7 near the intersection of East 4th and Prospect; 8 is it not? 9 Yes. Α And the tweet says, does it not, demonstration at 10 11 East 4th and Prospect may be flag burning. Cleveland fire on scene to take care of it. 12 Ιs 13 that correct? 14 Yes. 15 That was put out by the Police Department? 16 Yes. Α 17 Then if you look at Defendant's Exhibit 7, you 18 have another tweet published by the Police 19 Department on July 20th; is that correct? 20 Yes. Α 21 And that one says, fire fighters extinguished and 22 took the flag that protesters attempted to 23 destroy. Correct? 24 Yes. Α 25 Well, of course, the protestor would have a

- constitutional right to burn and destroy a flag
 as part of their protest. Correct?
- A From this picture, I see that they actually did that. I don't see much of a flag here.
- And from the picture on Exhibit 6, it says -- the tweet says that there's a demonstration that there may be flag burning. Cleveland Fire on scene to take care of it. Correct?
- 9 A Yes.

- 10 Q Well, assuming that they had a constitutional

 11 right to burn a flag safely and peacefully, there

 12 would be nothing for the Cleveland Fire

 13 Department to take care of? Correct. In that
- 15 A Well, you kind of uttered the two key words, 16 safely and peacefully.

event; isn't that true?

- And there would have been nothing for the

 Cleveland Fire Department to do by the way of

 taking care of that, under that circumstance

 peaceful protest involving flag burning.
- 21 Correct?
- A Had it been conducted safely and peacefully, you're right.
- Q Now, what, if anything, did you know about the Rev.com club back in July of 2016?

- 1 A The only thing I personally knew about the
 2 Rev.com club I assume they were one in the same
- 3 that they try to agitate people in their
- 4 demonstrations.
- 5 Q And how did you learn that?
- 6 A By personally being there.
- 7 Q Okay. Before July of 2016 or --
- 8 A Long before July of 2016.
- 9 Q How long before?
- 10 A Starting at the end of 2014, 2015, 2016.
- 11 Q You were aware that persons associated with the
- 12 Rev.com club had protested numerous times before
- the RNC?
- 14 A Yes.
- $15 \mid Q$ Okay. Now, in your coordination with the FBI and
- 16 the Secret Service, was there is a discussion
- about that group?
- 18 A With the FBI and Secret Service?
- 19 Q With the -- or any one of them?
- 20 A There was discussion about any potential protest
- 21 groups, any particular visitors, anybody that's
- visiting the convention that we need to be aware
- of, concerned about, and that we need to provide
- 24 protection of their 1st Amendment, 2nd Amendment
- 25 right, 4th Amendment rights, of course. Yes

1 Q But was the Rev.com club one of the groups 2 specifically discussed with the FBI or Secret 3 Service? I can't say if we specifically discussed them 4 Α 5 with the FBI and Secret Service, but I know internally with the Division of Police, yes, we 6 7 did. 8 And as part of your monitoring of that group, did Q 9 someone -- did the police from time to time 10 access the internet to see any posting put out by 11 that group so that you would be on notice of any 12 upcoming protests? 13 There was no monitoring of any particular group. 14 If things were brought to our attention and we 15 took a look to see exactly what they were to look 16 at dates, times, locations and that was about it. 17 We don't monitor groups. 18 How would a -- for example, the flier that we've 19 put in front of you. Assuming that that came to 20 the attention of someone in your department, how 21 would that happen ordinarily? 22 Someone would send it to us. Our officers would 23 take a look at it. 24 You mean like a citizen?

I don't know how you got this or I don't even

25

Α

know if we have this. That was my question. 1 2 When was it sent out and to whom? 3 Well, you've reviewed all the reports in 4 this case; have you not? 5 I'm sorry? Α 6 Did you review the reports of Lieutenant 7 Gaertner? 8 I'm sure I did, but I haven't reviewed them Α 9 lately. 10 Okay. Do you recall him saying that there was an 11 open source indication that there was going to be 12 a flag burning or there was going to be a protest 13 by this group at East 4th and Prospect? 14 recall seeing that in his report? 15 Α No, I don't. 16 We'll ask him about that when he gets on Okay. 17 the stand. The points is, is that these kinds of 18 postings on the internet can come to the 19 attention of your department in any number of 20 ways? 21 Yes. Sure, yes. 22 But you're saying that you would not have been 23 proactive during the Republic National Convention 2.4 and being on the internet looking for postings 25 about what protesters were planning on doing?

- 1 A I'm saying that we monitor activities. We don't 2 monitor organizations or individuals.
 - Q But you did want to learn in advance for purposes of deploying your police officers to the extent that you could pro -- protests were going to take place, when and where during the RNC. Correct?
 - A Well, according to this document that you gave to me, they actually sent it out themselves to everybody.
- 10 Q Yes. Correct. My only question is this, though,
 11 Chief.

One of the things that you wanted to do in connection with your duties at the RNC was to learn in advance when protest groups were going to engage in protests and where, so that you could have the proper resources devoted to it; isn't that true?

18 A Sometimes, yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q And in fact, in some cases I think one of your policies said that in the event that you have advance information, you may even coordinate with the leaders of that group to make sure that their needs are satisfied and that your officers are able to carry out their duties. Correct?
- $25 \mid A$ In the past and even during the convention, we

have been contacted directly by protest groups 1 2 and really sat down with them to see what their 3 activities were and we assist in their 1st 4 Amendment rights. Yes. 5 Now, you -- on July 20, 2016, you gave a press Q 6 conference; did you not? 7 Α Yes. 8 And you also gave a press conference on July 21, 9 2016; did you not? 10 I gave a press conference every day of the 11 convention, twice a day. 12 And during those press conferences on the 20th Q 13 and 21st, there was discussion by you of the 14 arrests that occurred at the protest involving 15 the burning of the flag. Correct? 16 Yes. Α 17 Now, did you learn in connection with 18 that, that officers of your department were 19 actually following them, following this group to 20 East 4th and Prospect where the flag burning was 21 to occur? 22 I don't recall that, but I could have. I just 23 don't recall that. 24 Well, do you know how your officers would have 25 recognized it?

1 I'm sorry? Α 2 Do you know how your officers would have 3 recognize that --4 What do you mean? Α 5 -- apart from anyone else? 6 I don't know. You tell me. Α 7 So you don't know the answer to that question? 8 Α No, I don't. 9 You don't know whether your officers had advance 10 warning and followed the Rev Com group or these 11 protesters as they walked up to East 4th and 12 Prospect? 13 Of this particular group, no. 14 Yes? 15 As I stated earlier, we had information that 16 there would be a 1st Amendment flag burning at 17 4th and Prospect by a single gentleman. 18 able to identify that gentleman by him walking 19 through the crowd with the flag and basically 20 saying he's going to do this. At that time, we 21 assembled the appropriate number of officers to 22 insure his 1st Amendment rights and protect the 23 public and we assembled, basically, followed the 2.4 gentleman from, I think, around public square 25 southbound on East 4th Street to East 4th and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Prospect and put a protective cording around that gentleman, as he continued to do what he wanted to do. In the end, the gentleman basically walked away and said he's not doing anything.

At that time, we redirected our forces. was there myself and I walked back to public square and before I could get back to public square, I think I was somewhere in the area of the casino is when I got a call from Lieutenant Gaertner saying they needed assistance; that they had crowd management issues and that he was being attacked. Officers ran back to 4th and Prospect. Well, isn't it true that at the press conference you gave on July 20th, when they were discussing the arrests that day in the flag burning incident, you said there was a question, were you made aware of any ramping up of protests tomorrow on the final day or preparing for any ramping up of protesters, one of the things you said is, no, no, and if I did, I really couldn't tell you guys here but I mean, we're out there. We're on top of everything. Our officers are actually following this group before they did what they We didn't know exactly what they were going to do, and so once they started that and once

things started to ramp up, they called people in and we dealt with it. Isn't that what you said about what happened at this flag burning?

A Yes.

2.4

- Q So you -- you, at that juncture, had information that your officers had been following this group, but you're not sure how they identified them?
- A Well, there are -- anybody that was at the convention knows that of the dozens of groups that came to protest and exercise their 1st Amendment rights, of course, our officers were in close proximity to dozens of those groups.

And at the time this incident happened, I wasn't aware that they were actually with this group, as they were moving because as I stated before, we thought the flag burning was going to happen prior to that and had assembled forces and officers at that location to make sure that we could keep everybody safe and it didn't happen. And we removed all those officers.

So, you know, there are a lot of groups in a lot different locations in the city that day and, of course, our officers were trying to keep up with all those groups to make sure that we could provide protection for them.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q

So, the officers that were -- had identified this group, however they identified them, it could have been one officer, it could have been It could have been 20. I don't know, but from the information I got, there was only a couple officers that actually saw this starting to happen, was trying to get that information out there. Okay. So, the officer -- at least one officer gets some idea that this group is heading to East 4th and Prospect for a protest that might involve burning the flag as you understood it, after the fact? They -- from what you're saying and from what I can recall from that day itself, the officers had information of a protest group. Of that activity, they didn't have that information. They just knew a group was going in that

The activities of that group, I don't think were known by anybody until they actually started to happen, unless one of the participants in that group actually told the officers what they were

direction and they were trying to get that

information out so we would have adequate

officers there to protect everybody.

about to do and I don't know if that happened or 1 2 not. 3 I want to show you what has been marked for 4 identification as Defendant's Exhibit 13. I'm 5 not going to ask about the entire contents, but can you just generally describe what type of 6 7 document this is? 8 This is a Divisional Office Report, basically. Α 9 So --10 It's a report of an arrest or an activity in the 11 city. 12 So, obviously your officers have developed 0 13 protocols when somebody gets arrested, there's 14 paperwork and everything. Correct? 15 Correct. 16 And this is the type of paperwork that gets 17 filled out when an arrest is made. Correct? 18 That's correct. 19 Now, I want you to go to the very last 20 page of this exhibit and do you see there's a 21 supplemental report by Lieutenant Gaertner? 22 Α Yes. 23 And do you see that the supplemental report is 24 designed to change the title of the offenses that

were being charged?

25

```
1
        Yes.
   Α
2
               It says the following information is
3
        offered as a supplemental report for the arrest
4
        that took place on July 20, 2016, on East 4th and
5
        Prospect by law enforcement members assigned to
        the RNC. Correct?
 6
7
   Α
        Yes.
8
        He then says, open source information was
9
        forwarded to officers working the crowd
10
        management detail warning that members of the
11
        group that identified themselves as Rev.com were
12
        advertising a flag burning to take place at East
        4th and Prospect at 1600 hours?
13
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     Your Honor, Lieutenant
14
15
            Gaertner is here. He's going to testify, so
16
            that question may be more appropriate to him.
17
            MR. MURRAY:
                                     And I'm going to cover
            it with him as well, your Honor, but it's
18
19
            relevant to something that the Chief said.
20
             THE COURT:
                                     Okay.
                                            I will allow
21
            this to continue, but I understand
22
             (inaudible) Lieutenant Gaertner. You may
23
            proceed.
24
                 Chief, did you understand the question?
25
             CHIEF WILLIAMS:
                                     No.
                                          Can you repeat
```

the question? 1 2 Yeah. He indicates that he had information that 3 was forwarded warning the members of a group that 4 identified themselves as Rev.com, were 5 advertising a flag burning to take place at East 4th and Prospect at 1600 hours. Copies of this 6 7 were printed from the internet and available for 8 prosecution. You see that? 9 Yes. Α Well, that's consistent, though -- the 10 Okay. 11 point is, when you gave your press conference, 12 you had information that I think you shared with 13 the press that there had been some advance notion 14 that this was going to take place, and wouldn't 15 Lieutenant Gaertner have been the source of your 16 information for that comment, probably?

- 17 A Again, when I gave the press conference, I did
 18 not have this particular information.
- Q Okay. So, but you had some information that as we just went over that the officers were actually following that group?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Okay. We'll ask Lieutenant Gaertner about that
 when we call him next. Okay. So, the group gets
 to East 4th and Prospect and they plan on, as

- part of their protest, burning an American flag.
 Correct?

 Yes. I assume, yes.
- 4 Q And in fact, there would be no justification for your officers to prevent that from happening if
- it were being done safely and peacefully.
- 7 Correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And there would be no justification for your
 10 officers to stop the burning of that flag if it
 11 was done peacefully and safely. Correct?
- 12 A That's correct.
- Okay. And there would have been no justification for your officers to immediately attempt to extinguish a burning flag if at that juncture there was no immediate safety concern. Correct?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q You've indicated you were not personally present 19 at the scene when the flag burning occurred.
- 20 Correct?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q You were at Ontario and East Roadway by the
- 23 casino?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And so when you arrived at the scene, the flag

- had already been extinguished by presumably the
 officers. Correct?
- 3 A I'm assuming so. I didn't see a flag burning when
 4 I got there.
- Okay. So, you didn't personally observe how quickly your officers intervened to stop the flag burning; did you?
- 8 A No. I didn't observe any of the flag burning at the scene, no.
- 2 So, you don't know from personal knowledge
 whether or not there was any justification at
 this juncture for the officers to break-in and
 extinguish that flag. Correct?
- 14 A Not from personal knowledge, no.
- 15 Q You had to rely upon the reports of your officers
 16 to draw whatever conclusions you came to about
 17 that particular part of the event?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q So -- but you do arrive there shortly thereafter?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q You're notified by radio that what, that this 22 event is occurring and where it is?
- 23 A That there was an out of control crowd and that
 24 officers were being assaulted and they were
 25 calling for assistance.

1 Q So, you went down to East 4th and Okay. 2 Prospect? 3 That's correct. 4 And you remember approximately what time you Q 5 arrived? 6 No, I don't. 7 Q There was a fair number of people there. 8 Correct? 9 A few hundred, yes. 10 And many others besides the protesters. 11 Α Exactly, yes. 12 And at some point, you declared this was an 13 unlawful assembly and that people should 14 disperse. Correct? 15 Α Yes. 16 And at some point you ordered that certain people 17 be arrested. Correct? 18 At some point, yes. 19 But the only people among that crowd that got Q 20 arrested were members in the protest? 21 That's correct, yes. 22 There were still other people in the area at the Q 23 time that the protesters were being arrested, 2.4 correct?

25

Α

Yes.

- 1 Q But none of those other people, even though they
 2 were still in the area, were arrested, were they?
- 3 A No, because they were following orders to leave the area.
- Q Were they still there -- were there people still there when some of the protesters were being arrested?
- 8 A Yes, they were.

- 9 Q But you didn't arrest any of them. Correct?
- 10 A They were not -- they were not conducting what we deemed to be violent activities. They were not physically blocking the only emergency exit on that side from the Q and they were following
- 15 Q When the arrests were completed of the 16 protesters, were there still people in that area?

orders to egress from that area.

- 17 A Mostly reporters, yes.
- 18 Q Were there others who were not reporters still in that area?
- I don't know. I'd have to go back and look at
 the video. But yes, there were people in the
 area and there were people that were not blocking
 the entrance and they were people just standing
 by not being violent or trying to incite violence
 in the crowd.

- 1 Q So, are you saying that our clients were Okay. 2 arrested because they were trying to incite 3 Is that your testimony? violence now? 4 I'm saying your clients were arrested for failure Α 5 to disperse. 6 Q Well, you just said a moment ago that they were 7 trying to incite violence. Is that what you said? 8 9 I'm saying that their actions on that day, 10 incited people that wanted to really get at them 11 for their activities on that day. 12 Well, you were --Q 13 And in our efforts to separate everybody, we 14 moved the people away that they were kind of 15 trying to get at them and then we tried to move 16 them from blocking that emergency exit and they 17 refused to move and that's when they were 18 arrested. 19 You understood that they had a right to say 20 things that would be offensive to other members 21 of the crowd? 22 Yes, they did. Α 23 And that your job would be to protect their right
- 24 to say those things from a hostile crowd that was
- 25 trying to physically interfere with them.

```
Correct?
 1
 2
   Α
        Yes.
 3
             MR. MURRAY:
                                    May I have a moment,
 4
            your Honor?
 5
             THE COURT:
                                     You may.
             MR. MURRAY:
                                    Your Honor, I've no
 6
             further questions, but I would offer into
 8
             evidence Defendant's Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
 9
             6, 7, 11, and 12.
             MR. HEWITT:
10
                                    No objection, your
11
            Honor.
             THE COURT:
12
                                     Thank you. Thank you,
13
            Mr. Prosecutor.
                 I believe -- I think you offered into
14
15
             evidence Defendant's Exhibit 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
             6, 7, 11, and 12.
16
17
                 Is that correct?
             MR. MURRAY:
18
                                   Yes, I think that is
19
             correct, your Honor.
20
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you. No
21
             objection?
22
             MR. HEWITT:
                                    No, your Honor.
23
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you.
24
                 Mr. Hewitt.
25
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     Thank you.
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MR. HEWITT: 3 Chief, I'm going to call your attention to 4 Exhibit 2, please. This concerns crowd 5 management, protection of constitutional rights. Correct? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 There was questioning by counsel regarding page 9 four. Correct? 10 Yes. Α 11 And I think he read to you the second bullet, the 12 first half of it and I would now ask you to read 13 into the record the second half starting with 14 these rights? 15 These rights may be subject to reasonable 16 restrictions designed to protect public safety, 17 persons and property and to accommodate the 18 interest of persons not participating in these 19 assemblies to the use of sidewalks -- I'm sorry-to the use of streets, sidewalks, and other 20 21 public ways to travel to their intended 22 destination and use public spaces for 23 recreational purposes. 24 Okay. Thank you. Q 25 THE COURT: Mr. Hewitt, what

```
exhibit was that?
 1
 2
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     That's Exhibit 2, your
 3
             Honor.
 4
             THE COURT:
                                     Exhibit 2.
 5
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     It's page 4 of 28.
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you.
 6
 7
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     All right.
 8
        Exhibit 12, please, Chief.
   Q
 9
        Yes.
10
        This is a notice that Joey Johnson, notorious
11
        flag burner, revolutionary communist to display
12
        the flag. You see that?
13
   Α
        Yes.
14
        All right. Did you see where this flag burning
15
        was scheduled to take place? What, when and
16
        where. Could you identify the when and where,
17
        please?
        The when is July 20, 4:00 p.m., change from
18
19
        3:00 p.m. where? East 4th and Prospect Avenue
20
        Cleveland, Ohio. Change from Perk Plaza.
21
        So at one point in time, it was advertised that
22
        these would take -- this flag burning would take
23
        place at another location. Correct?
24
        According to this document, yes.
   Α
25
        All right. Even the time was changed. Correct?
   Q
```

1 Yes. Α 2 All right. Exhibit 11, please. 3 Α Yes. 4 That's the whiteboard with the officer? Q 5 Yes. Α 6 And you see charges there. Correct? 7 Α Yes. 8 Who determines charges that are to be brought 9 against a particular defendant, please? Prosecutor's Office. 10 11 So, if -- the information that's indicated on this whiteboard, the ultimate decision would be 12 13 made by whom? 14 By the City Prosecutor's Office. 15 All right. This flag burning took place at East 16 4th and Prospect. Correct? 17 Α Correct. 18 You had arrived after the burning -- flag burning 19 itself had taken place. Correct? 20 Correct. Α 21 In your opinion, sir, was this done in a safe 22 environment? 23 No. Α 24 MR. MURRAY: Objection, your Honor. 25 He wasn't there he said at the time.

that's a matter of expert testimony, not lay 1 2 testimony. 3 THE COURT: He can answer the 4 question if you want. If you know, you can 5 answer the question. MR. HEWITT: 6 Your Honor, I'll 7 ask--8 I'll answer the question this way. Α 9 arrived on the scene, it was not a safe 10 environment. 11 And why? Because it was total chaos. There were not 12 enough officers there to control all the 13 14 demonstrations itself; the crowd ensued, a long 15 with the media push and others trying to get a 16 look at what was going on; and it was total 17 chaos. 18 There were anti-protesters present also, correct? 19 Yes. Α 20 So then you had the Rev.com group were -- did 21 burn an American flag, right? From what I observed after it was all over --22 23 said done, the flag was basically 99 percent 2.4 There was nothing left. burned. 25 So at that point, it was -- you had learned that

```
individuals had gotten injured. Correct?
 1
 2
        Yes.
   Α
 3
        How many people?
 4
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     I couldn't hear the
 5
             question.
             THE COURT:
 6
                                     Would you repeat the
 7
             question?
 8
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     How many people had
 9
             been injured?
10
             CHIEF WILLIAMS:
                                     At that point --
11
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     Objection, your Honor.
12
             He has no personal knowledge of that.
                                     He's asking a question
13
             THE COURT:
14
             if he has knowledge of it. You can answer.
15
        After we got things fairly calmed down, I was
16
        told that two people were actually --
17
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     Objection. That's
18
             hearsay, your Honor.
19
             THE COURT:
                                     You have to testify as
20
             to what you know, what you saw.
21
             CHIEF WILLIAMS:
                                     Yes, your Honor.
22
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you.
23
                  Mr. Hewitt, can you please repeat the
24
             question again just so I'm clear on what
25
             you're asking.
```

1		MR. HEWITT: Were you aware that
2		others had been injured as was part of the
3		flag burning?
4		MR. MURRAY Objection, your Honor.
5		THE COURT: And your basis for the
6		objection?
7		MR. MURRAY: He needs to establish
8		personal knowledge as a predicate rather than
9		letting him was he aware based on what
10		somebody else told him. He can't testify to
11		that fact until he establishes that he has
12		personal knowledge of it.
13		THE COURT: Well, he established
14		he was there, so he can testify as to what he
15		saw and what he knows from his observation.
16		You may answer the question if you can.
17	А	Through my personal observation, I did not
18		witness injuries, but through the reports, it was
19		reported in our Divisional Police Reporting
20		Procedures that persons were injured. Yes.
21	Q	All right. So it was a need to disperse the
22		situation. Correct?
23	А	Right. That was not about flag burning. This
24		was about a violent situation that we had to
25		disperse a crowd, period. The flag burning was
	Ī	

```
done.
1
2
        And so the protesters were there. You asked each
3
        of them to leave. Correct?
4
        That's correct.
   Α
5
        You personally did that, correct?
6
        Personally. Face-to-face.
   Α
7
        You pleaded with those protesters to leave the
8
        area, correct?
9
        Yes.
10
        And when they did not leave the area, you then
11
        ordered them arrested. Correct?
12
        Correct.
   Α
13
        And the charges that were brought were Failure to
14
        Disperse. Correct?
15
   Α
        Correct.
16
        Things turned chaotic, correct, your Honor?
17
        Things were chaotic from the beginning, yes.
18
        Safety was an issue. Correct?
19
   Α
        Safety was a paramount concern.
20
        Police needed to restore order?
   Q
21
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     Your Honor, I'm going
22
             to object to these leading questions. Some
23
             leeway is appropriate, but --
24
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you. Objection
25
             sustained.
```

- 1 Q The protesters continued to chant their message; 2 did they not? 3 When I arrived, the protesters were locked arm in 4 arm in front of the emergency exit for the Q for 5 people participating in the convention itself. There was an awning that was set up to get people 6 7 out of the weather in case it rained. completely destroyed and they were refusing to 8 9 move from in front of that entrance or do any other -- refused any command from police officers 10 11 or law enforcement. 12 So then you ordered them arrested and the order 0 13 to disperse included everybody. Correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Members of the press?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q Even curious onlookers?
- 18 A Yes, they were all pushed from the area.
- 19 Q And there was no specific reasons to target any
- of the defendants, were there?
- 21 A They weren't targeted, but for the fact that they
 22 were the only people locked arm in arm blocking
 23 the entrance and that they were really the only
- people that were at that point out of control.
- 25 MR. HEWITT: I've no further

```
1
             questions.
2
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you, counsel.
3
                         RECROSS-EXAMINATION
4
   BY MR. MURRAY:
5
        They weren't the only people that were still
6
        there, though, were they?
7
   Α
        When do you mean?
8
        Still present in that area?
9
        There were a ton of police officers there, yes.
10
        There was media still there?
11
        That we had pushed farther away, yes.
        There were other citizens still in that area.
12
13
        Correct?
14
        Our only request for the people that were
15
        arrested was to leave the immediate area which
        they refused to do and other people that we told
16
17
        to leave that area followed that direction.
18
        Were there other people present still after you
19
        arrested our clients?
20
        Police officers and media, yes.
21
        And citizens?
22
        I can't testify to -- there were -- I can't tell
23
        you that no, there were no citizens in that
2.4
        immediate area because everybody out there had a
25
        camera, had a phone, had a microphone. Everybody
```

```
professed to be media, a blogger, an internet
1
2
        person with a radio show. So, we did our best to
3
        move everybody out of that area including the
4
        media.
5
        Now, you said that when you arrived, I think the
   Q
6
        phrase was, it was total chaos. You recall
7
        giving that testimony?
8
        That's correct.
   Α
9
        What you don't know however, since you didn't
10
        personally observe it, is to what extent the
11
        chaos that you observed was the product of police
        officers rushing in and extinguishing the flag
12
13
        from burning in the middle of the protest. You
        don't know to when extent that caused the chaos,
14
15
        do you?
16
            MR. HEWITT:
                                     He was not present,
17
            your Honor, so I'm not sure if he can testify
            to that.
18
19
        Well, I don't think anybody knows, except the
   Α
20
        people that were there.
21
             THE COURT:
                                     Hold on a minute.
22
            Restate the question, please.
23
                                    You do not know to
            MR. MURRAY:
24
            what extent the chaos that you observed was
25
            actually the product of your officers rushing
```

```
in an intervening to stop the flag burning;
1
2
             isn't that true?
3
                                     On the objection, I'll
             THE COURT:
4
             overrule it and allow you to answer it.
5
        I don't think anybody knows, except the people
   Α
        that were there.
 6
7
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     May I have one moment,
8
             your Honor?
9
        I want to make sure I understand where your
   Q
        officers -- well, the location of the flag
10
11
        burning protest was at the corner of East 4th and
12
        Prospect. Correct?
        I don't know if it was at a corner.
13
                                               It was my
        understanding by seeing the flag itself on the
14
15
        ground, it was right in the middle of the
16
        intersection.
17
               Well, in the middle of street around that
        Okay.
        intersection. Correct?
18
19
               Closer to the entrance side, closer to
   Α
        Right.
20
        the south side of Prospect Avenue.
21
               But, when the police officers intervened
22
        before you got there, they, in fact, moved some
23
        of the protesters a way from and towards the
2.4
        security area; isn't that true?
25
   Α
        I'm not aware of that, no.
```

1	Q	So you don't know whether or	not the protesters
2		that you arrested were who	ere they were because
3		they had been moved there in	the aftermath of the
4		police action. You don't kno	ow that, do you?
5	А	I don't know that, but I do l	know that they were
6		given the opportunity to leav	ve, whether they were
7		moved there or not, and they	refused to leave.
8		MR. MURRAY: O	ne more moment, your
9		Honor. That's all I hav	e. Thank you, your
10		Honor.	
11		THE COURT: T	hank you very much.
12		Mr. Prosecutor.	
13		MR. HEWITT: N	o further questions.
14		THE COURT: T	hank you very much.
15		Next witness.	
16		MR. MURRAY: Y	es, your Honor.
17		Would be Lieutenant Gaer	tner.
18		THE COURT: T	hank you, Chief.
19	Somebody ask Lieutenant Gaertner,		
20	please Lieutenant, come up.		me up.
21		Good morning, Lieute	enant.
22		LT. GAERTNER: G	Good morning, Judge.
23		THE COURT:	Ir. Murray.
24		MR. MURRAY: T	hank you, your Honor.
25			

TIMOTHY GAERTNER, 1 2 having been first duly sworn, was examined 3 and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. MURRAY: 6 Please state your name and business address for 7 the record. 8 Lieutenant Timothy Gaertner. G-A-E-R-T-N-E-R, Α 9 badge number 8409. Lieutenant, Cleveland Police, 10 4th District, 9333 Kinsman Avenue. 11 How long have you occupied that rank? I've been a Lieutenant since 2000. 12 13 What are your duties, generally speaking, as a 14 Lieutenant? 15 I'm in charge of day shift out in the 4th 16 District. 17 Now, you were assigned to duties during the 18 Republican National Convention, in July 2016, as 19 well; were you not? 20 Yes, sir, I was. Α 21 What general duties were you assigned during that 22 week that the Republicans met? 23 I was assigned as a Field Force Squad Leader. Α 24 And what did that entail? 25 I was in charge of four squads down at -- in the Α

general area of the convention. 1 2 Okay. What was the purpose of the squad? 3 For any -- any -- anything. For protesters, for 4 any type of violence, anything. Any type of crimes committed in the downtown area. 5 6 Now, are you familiar with -- there should -- is 7 Exhibit 2 -- are there some exhibits in front of Yes. Would you find what has been marked 8 9 as Defendant's Exhibit 2? I'll help you. 10 give you this. Do you see Exhibit 2? Defendant's Exhibit 2, Lieutenant? 11 Yes, sir. 12 Α 13 That's the June 13, 2016, police order issued by 14 Chief Williams concerning crowd management and 15 protection of constitutional rights? 16 Yes, sir. 17 And is that something that you reviewed before 18 the Republican National Convention? 19 Yes, sir. Α 20 That is something that you understood you had a Q 21 duty to comply with? 22 Yes, sir. Α 23 Now, I also want to ask you about Defendant's 24 Exhibit 3. There should be another document that 25 has a three on it?

- A Yes, sir. I see it.
- 2 Q I would ask you to just peruse it and let me know
- 3 whether you're familiar with that document as
- 4 well.

1

- 5 A Okay. I perused it, sir.
- 6 Q Are you familiar with that document?
- 7 A Yes, I am.
- 8 Q Did you receive the training that that document
- 9 provides?
- 10 A Yes, I did.
- 11 Q How did you receive it, in a classroom setting?
- 12 A Classroom setting.
- 13 Q And was it before the Republican National
- 14 Convention that you received that training?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q And do you remember who provided the training?
- 17 A Not off the top of my head, no.
- 18 Q Now, it is true, is it not, that you were
- involved in arresting certain protesters in
- 20 connection with a flag burning incident that
- 21 occurred on July 20th at East 4th and Prospect at
- around 4:00 p.m. on -- yeah, at around 4:00 p.m.?
- 23 A Yes, sir. I was there.
- $24 \mid Q$ Now I want to show you what has been marked as
- Defendant's Exhibit 13.

MR. MURRAY: I think I gave you a 1 2 copy, your Honor, already. 3 THE COURT: I do have a copy. 4 Lieutenant, I'm about to hand it to you. Q 5 Α Okay. 6 You were involved in the arrest of Gregory Joey 7 Johnson; were you not? 8 Not directly, no. Α 9 All right. Exhibit 13 is police paperwork 10 associated with an arrest; isn't that correct? 11 Generally speaking. I'm not asking about the 12 Isn't that the type of documents? contents. 13 It appears so, yes, sir. 14 Okay. And if you turn on the last page, it is 15 true, is it not, that you contributed to Defendant's Exhibit 13 at least on that last 16 17 page? 18 Yes, I did. I offered a supplemental report to 19 the original arrest. 20 And in fact, if you go -- before we get Q Okay. 21 there, if you would go to page 15. 22 numbers at the bottom. You authored that page, 23 as well, did you not, page 15? 24 That's my signature on the bottom, yes, it is. Α 25 Is it your handwriting, though, on the report or

did someone else fill that out then you approved 1 2 it? 3 Someone else filled it out and I assisted them 4 with it. 5 Okay. All right. But then let's go to the last Q 6 page, which is your report, correct, your 7 supplemental report? 8 Yes, sir. Α 9 And it indicates the purpose of the supplemental 10 report is for a new title to read Aggravated 11 Arson, inciting violence, Resting Arrest, police intervention/arrest. Correct? 12 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 Then you indicate the following information is 15 offered as a supplemental report for the arrest 16 that took place on 7/20/2016 on East 4th and 17 Prospect by law enforcement members assigned to the RNC. Correct? 18 19 Yes. Α 20 You then say open source information was Q 21 forwarded to officers working the crowd 22 management detail. Let me stop right there. 23 What is meant by open sources information? 2.4 do you mean by that? 25 Α The internet, public -- social media.

- 1 Q I see. So, did you have some kind of electronic tablet with you?
- 3 A No. That was relayed to me in person.
- 4 Q And by in person, meaning orally or --
- 5 A On the radio. I believe it was broadcast over the radio.
- 7 Q I got you. Okay. So open source information 8 forwarded to officers warning that members of a
- group that identified themselves as quote
- 10 "Rev.com" were advertising a flag burning to take
- 11 place at East 4th and Prospect at 1600 hours.
- 12 You see that?
- 13 A Yes, I do see that.
- 14 Q So you were warned about that at the time over
- 15 the radio?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q Okay. You go on to say, copies of this were
- 18 printed from the internet and are available for
- 19 prosecution. Is this something you did later?
- 20 A I believe so, yes.
- 21 Q Okay. And before we go any further on that
- 22 exhibit, I want to draw your attention to what's
- been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 12 and ask you
- 24 what that is. The information that you were able
- 25 to get after the fact on the internet to provide

- 1 for prosecution?
- 2 A I'm not totally sure, sir.
- 3 Q Okay. But something a long those lines is what
- 4 you were able to get?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q Okay. So then you say -- in your next paragraph
- 7 you say, when members of this group arrived on
- 8 East 4th and began walking from Euclid to
- 9 Prospect, Cleveland Police immediately began to
- 10 follow them. You see that?
- 11 A Yes, I see that.
- $12 \mid Q$ How did you identify that they were part of the
- group that you were being warned about?
- 14 A I was advised by undercover officers.
- 15 | Q Okay. So, they pointed -- in other words, they
- pointed out to you certain people?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Okay. And were these undercover officers of the
- 19 Cleveland Police Department or some other agency?
- 20 A Cleveland Police Department mostly.
- 21 0 Well, what about the others?
- 22 A There were all kinds of other -- there were so
- 23 many police agencies involved, but I remember
- 24 hearing it from a CPD officer.
- 25 | Q Did the FBI have undercover officers there as far

1 as you know? 2 I can't speak for them, sir. Α 3 What about Secret Service? 4 I did not deal with the Secret Service. Α 5 Okay. So anyway, a Cleveland Police Department 6 undercover officer was able to identify the 7 people; is that correct? 8 That's correct. Α 9 How many of them were there? 10 I don't recall how many, sir. 11 It wasn't like a hundred? 12 I don't recall. I believe it was in the area of 13 25 to 50. 14 All right. So then it says that police 15 immediately began to follow them; is that 16 correct? 17 That's correct. 18 And you were among the police that did that, 19 correct? 20 Yes, sir. Α 21 Okay. And your purpose in following them was to 22 monitor their activities. Correct? 23 That's correct. Α 24 Okay. So you then say in the next paragraph, 25 open flames and burning of any sort were deemed

- 1 prohibited by the City of Cleveland in the RNC
- 2 event zone. Correct?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q You say this fact along with a list of several
- 5 other prohibited items was published and
- 6 announced by the City via several media outlets
- 7 to the general public. Correct?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q So, you were warned by the radio that they were
- 10 advertising a flag burning to take place.
- 11 Correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And you made note of the fact that open flames
- 14 and burning of any sort were prohibited.
- 15 Correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 | Q So, at that juncture, your conclusion was that
- 18 what they contemplated doing would be prohibited
- by that policy of open flames and burning of any
- 20 sort being deemed prohibited. Correct?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q Now -- okay. So you then say the group formed a
- 23 circle at East 4th and Prospect. Correct?
- 24 A Yes. That's correct, sir.
- 25 Q And then you say Johnson and Castonon, those are

two of the individuals who were ultimately 1 2 arrested, correct? 3 That's correct. 4 Were in the center of the group, correct? Q Yes, sir. 5 Α 6 And then you say, as soon as they began to light 7 an American flag on fire, Lieutenant Gaertner and Sergeant William Stanton used cold fire to 8 9 extinguish the flame. Correct? 10 Yes, sir. Α 11 And that was true, correct? 12 Yes. Α 13 As soon as they lit the fire, you and Sergeant 14 Stanton used the cold fire to extinguish it, 15 correct? 16 Yes, sir. Α 17 You also make note in your last paragraph that 18 Johnson and Castonon were continuously before, 19 during and after, calling for the -- I think you 20 meant overthrow not other throw, probably. 21 that a typo? 22 Yes, sir. Α 23 Johnson and Castonon were continuously Okay. Q 24 before, during and after calling for the 25 overthrow of the government during their arrest

```
inciting the crowd to commit other acts of
 1
 2
        violence. Correct?
 3
        Yes, sir.
 4
        By saying those things. Correct?
   Q
 5
        Yes, sir.
   Α
 6
        Now --
   Q
 7
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     May I have one moment,
 8
             your Honor?
 9
             THE COURT:
                                     You may.
10
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     Your Honor, that's all
11
             I have of this witness, but I do want to make
             sure that I offered into evidence -- I've
12
13
             offered, 1, 2 --
             THE COURT:
                                     Give me one second.
14
15
             One second. All right.
16
                  What do you think you offered into
17
             evidence?
             MR. MURRAY:
                                      I think I offered 1,
18
19
             2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 11 and now I want to make sure
20
             that I offer Defendant's Exhibit 5, which is
21
             an audio. We have a -- burned a disk of an
22
             audio tape that was played.
23
             THE COURT:
                                     Okay.
24
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     And I want to make
25
             sure I offer Defendant's Exhibit 12, which is
```

the flier; and then finally, I want to offer 1 2 into evidence -- I want to offer into 3 evidence as 13 -- Defendant's Exhibit 13, 4 just the last page of that exhibit because 5 that's the page that the Lieutenant wrote. I'm not offering the rest of that exhibit, 6 which he did not create. Just the last page that I questioned him about. 8 9 And then if I can have one further moment, your Honor. There's one other 10 11 exhibit. 12 THE COURT: You may. 13 MR. MURRAY: Your Honor, would this be -- there's one other thing that I would 14 15 discuss -- I want to discuss with co-counsel 16 before I release this witness. I'm wondering 17 if we can take our lunch break now so that we 18 wouldn't -- so that we could spend some time 19 discussing that among counsel. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Now, before we 21 do that, let me just make sure I have your 22 exhibits correct. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 11, 5, 23 12, 13, the last page. 24 Mr. Hewitt, do you have any objection? 25 MR. HEWITT: No objection.

1	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
2	Now with regard to 13, you made a
3	typographical correction. Do you want that
4	noted in the record?
5	MR. MURRAY: I think it was
6	sufficient that he noted it, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Very good. Thank you.
8	As noted, Number 13 will be included.
9	After 12 o'clock, I was Mr. Hewitt,
10	do you is this a convenient time?
11	MR. HEWITT: Well, your Honor, I'm
12	aware that there may be some other
13	commitments, but I would like to keep going,
14	but I don't have a lot of questions for this
15	witness.
16	THE COURT: What time can we
17	reconvene? It's 12:15 now.
18	MR. MURRAY: 1:00 o'clock would be
19	fine. 1:15 would be fine with us, your
20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: Is 1:15 is a good time
22	to reconvene?
23	MR. HEWITT: That's fine.
24	THE COURT: All right. We'll
25	recess now until 1:15.

```
1
                   Thank you very much everybody.
 2
              MR. MURRAY:
                                         Thank you.
 3
 4
                     (Thereupon, a recess was had.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	THE BAILIFF: All rise. The court
3	is now back in order.
4	Your Honor, good afternoon.
5	THE COURT: Good afternoon,
6	everybody.
7	MR. MURRAY: Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Are we ready to
9	resume, counsel?
10	MR. MURRAY: Yes, we are.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very
12	much.
13	I'll remind you, you're still under
14	oath.
15	LT. GAERTNER: Yes, your Honor.
16	CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION OF LT. GAERTNER
17	BY MR. MURRAY:
18	Q Lieutenant, at this time, I'm going to introduce
19	by way of a disk and a showing, Plaintiff's
20	Defendant's Exhibit 8 and I'd ask you to look at
21	it so that you can then confirm that it depicts
22	the events that you observed on July 20, 2016, at
23	4:00 p.m. at this protest that involved flag
24	burning.
25	

```
(DVD playing)
 1
 2
 3
                            (DVD stopped).
 4
 5
        Lieutenant, you've seen the video.
   Q
 6
        That was the first time I saw that particular
 7
        video, yes.
 8
        But that video is a depiction of the events on
   Q
 9
        July 20th about which you've testified?
10
        Yes, sir.
   Α
11
             MR. MURRAY:
                                      Your Honor, I would
             offer into evidence Defendant's Exhibit 8,
12
             which is the disk.
13
14
             THE COURT:
                                      Okay.
15
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     No objection.
             THE COURT:
16
                                     No objection. Thank
17
             you.
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     And I have no further
18
19
             questions of the Lieutenant.
20
             THE COURT:
                                      Thank you.
21
                  Mr. Hewitt, give me one second.
22
             MR. HEWITT:
                                      Okay.
23
             THE COURT:
                                     Mr. Hewitt, you may
24
             proceed.
25
             MR. HEWITT:
                                      Thank you, your Honor.
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. HEWITT:
- 3 Q Lieutenant, you first learned of the flag burning
- 4 on East 4th and Prospect, correct?
- 5 A Yes.

1

- 6 Q Okay. Of course, we saw the video just the
- 7 moment ago, right?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q And you saw Mr. Johnson chanting, correct?
- 10 Defendant Johnson.
- 11 A Yes, we heard him chanting.
- 12 | Q And there was another lady by the name of Lisa
- Castonon was standing right next to her, correct?
- 14 Him. Correct?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 Q All right. And police were telling the crowd to
- get back. You heard that?
- 18 A Absolutely. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q And they really -- what evolved in that response?
- 20 Did they respond?
- $21 \mid A$ No, we did not. The pocket collapsed on the
- 22 protesters and forced everything on top of them.
- 23 Mostly the media, no offense. But, there was a
- lot of people there with cameras and
- 25 anti-protesters of the protesters, and they did

```
start off with a decent area, but then that --
 1
 2
        like I guess you could say in football, the
 3
        pocket -- it collapsed. It collapsed quickly.
 4
        So, you saw defendant Constonon light the
   Q
 5
        American flag, correct?
 6
   Α
        Yes.
 7
   Q
        Then you observed Mr. Johnson -- defendant
 8
        Johnson --
 9
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     Excuse me, your Honor.
10
             Just for the record, she's no longer a
11
             defendant.
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     Well --
12
             THE COURT:
13
                                     Thank you.
14
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     Miss Johnson.
15
             THE COURT:
                                     Miss Johnson.
16
        And Miss Castonon -- Miss Castonon lit the
17
        American flag, correct?
18
        Correct.
19
        And Mr. Johnson then caught fire. Correct?
   Q
20
        I believe it was her. She looked -- it appeared
   Α
21
        in her hands, but I was only concerned about the
22
        close proximity to all the other people's.
23
        the other people, excuse me, that were there.
24
        All right. You had cold fire with you, fire
   Q
25
        extinguisher with you. Correct?
```

1 Yes, did I. Α 2 Did it come a time that you articulated the 3 words, "You are on fire, stupid"? 4 Yes, that was me. Α And whom was that directed to? 5 6 Well, what had happened was, there was two --Α 7 there was two protesters, the fire extended to And at which point, I had first started to 8 9 extinguish the main source of the fire, which was 10 the flag. But then I was more concerned because 11 two people -- it was a male and a female, they 12 were burning. Their clothes had caught fire. 13 I blasted -- I sprayed. Blasted. Okay. I said 14 I blasted -- hit them with a blast and he 15 said, Dude, what are you doing? I said, you're 16 on fire and he said, you know -- he was, like 17 whatever. Dude, something of that effect, and I blasted him again and I said, you're on fire, 18 19 stupid with the intent of shocking him. I wanted 20 him -- to get him to stop, drop and roll because 21 I wasn't sure if he was totally out. 22 right after that happened, then I got grabbed by 23 somebody and ended up on the ground. 24 And your testimony is that at least two other Q 25 people received burns. Correct?

```
1
        It was two other protesters with that group that
   Α
2
        their clothes caught on fire. I was never able
3
        to locate them. They did not stick around.
4
        There was counter-protesters present also,
   Q
5
        correct?
6
        Yes, sir.
   Α
7
   Q
        Did you spray anyone else with this cold fire
8
        extinguisher?
9
        Not that -- one person was kicking me while I was
10
        on the ground and I did spray that person just to
11
        get -- it was an elderly female -- just to get
12
        that person to stop kicking me while I was
13
        wrestling with the male that had punched me.
        All right. Turn to page 13 of Defendant's
14
15
        Exhibits, please.
        Of Exhibit 3? Oh.
16
17
             THE COURT:
                                     What's the exhibit
18
             number, counselor?
19
             LT. GAERTNER:
                                            What's the
                                     Yeah.
20
             exhibit number?
21
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     13.
22
             THE COURT:
                                     Exhibit number 13.
23
             that the one we did the one page?
24
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     Yes.
25
             THE COURT:
                                     So it's just the last
```

```
page of that exhibit that was admitted.
1
2
               I think this is it right here.
   Α
3
        Yes.
        I have it now, sir.
4
   Α
5
        Okay. You offered that text on that page.
6
        Correct?
7
   Α
        Yes, sir.
8
        And the fifth paragraph talks about you and
9
        Sergeant Stanton using cold fire to extinguish
10
        the flame. Correct?
11
        That's correct.
        The sole reason you used cold fire was because
12
13
        people were on fire, correct?
14
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     Objection, your Honor.
15
             He's testifying, isn't he?
16
             THE COURT:
                                     Counsel, would you
17
             rephrase?
             MR. HEWITT:
18
                                     Okay.
19
        Why were you using the cold fire, sir?
20
   Α
        Because I witnessed two people have their clothes
21
        caught fire and the flag -- the way it was being
22
        waved around, it was a threat to other people,
23
        too.
24
             MR. HEWITT:
                                      I've no further
25
             questions.
```

```
THE COURT:
                                     Thank you.
 1
 2
                  If I can make an inquiry. The Exhibit
 3
             Number 13, the 1 page supplemental. What
 4
             date was it done?
             LT. GAERTNER:
 5
                                     I believe it was the
             next day, afternoon, sir. You're right.
 6
 7
             That does not have a date on there.
             THE COURT:
 8
                                     Thank you.
 9
                  Any questions based on what I just
10
             asked? You may proceed.
11
             MR. MURRAY:
                                      Thank you, your
12
             Honor.
13
                       RE-CROSS EXAMINATION
14
   BY MR. MURRAY:
15
        Lieutenant, you still have Defendant's Exhibit 13
16
        in front of you?
17
        Yes, I do, sir.
18
        So you prepared that report the next day?
19
        Yes, I did.
   Α
20
        Okay. At a time when you had an opportunity to
21
        reflect upon what had happened?
22
        Yes, sir.
   Α
23
        And it was important for you to record accurately
2.4
        what had occurred, correct?
25
   Α
        Yes, sir.
```

```
And this was going to become part an official
 1
   Q
 2
        police report. Correct?
 3
        That's correct, sir.
 4
        Okay.
               If you look at the 1, 2, 3, 4, the 5th
   Q
 5
        paragraph that begins with, the group formed a
        circle. Do you see that paragraph?
 6
 7
   Α
        Yes, sir.
 8
        The third sentence reads as follows, does it not,
 9
        as soon as they began to light an American flag
10
        on fire, Lieutenant Gaertner and Sergeant William
11
        Stanton used cold fire to extinguish the flame.
        Isn't that what you wrote?
12
13
   Α
        Yes, sir.
14
        And that was true, wasn't it?
15
   Α
        Absolutely.
16
   Q
        Okay.
17
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     May I have one moment,
18
             your Honor?
19
             THE COURT:
                                     You may.
20
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     That's all I have.
21
             Thank you, your Honor.
22
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you.
23
                  Anything else, Mr. Hewitt?
24
25
```

REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MR. HEWITT: 3 Well, the flag was lit. Correct? 4 Yes, sir. Α 5 Flames were flying, correct? 6 Yes, sir. Α 7 And you noticed the flames coming in contact with 8 other people. Correct. 9 MR. MURRAY: Objection, your 10 Honor. Again, he's testifying. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Hewitt, you can't lead. You can't lead --12 13 MR. HEWITT: Okay. Okay. 14 THE COURT: -- the witness. 15 Objection sustained. MR. HEWITT: 16 All right. That's 17 fine. 18 Why did you use your cold fire extinguisher, 19 Lieutenant? 20 Like I had already testified and like you saw in 21 the video, the only way I could describe it is it 22 was a pocket. If they would have had a big open 23 space, there wouldn't have been a problem, but 24 the pocket, it collapsed. People were pushing 25 them in. Reporters, counter-protesters, their

```
protesters, and it became too close quarters and
 1
 2
        that's why I did that. In my opinion, it was a
 3
        matter of safety.
 4
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     That's it. Thank you.
 5
             THE COURT:
                                     You're excused. Thank
 6
             you very much.
             LT. GAERTNER:
                                     Okay.
                                             Thank you, your
 8
             Honor.
 9
             THE COURT:
                                     Counsel.
10
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     Your Honor, the
11
             defendant's have no further evidence. Oh,
12
             I'm sorry. That's not true. One moment,
13
             your Honor.
             THE COURT:
14
                                     Sure.
15
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     Your Honor, yes. We
             will -- at this time, we're going to call as
16
17
             a witness Gregory Joey Johnson.
18
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you.
19
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     Your Honor, the
20
             prosecution didn't get notice of any -- of
21
             this witness.
22
             THE COURT:
                                     So noted.
                                                 Thank you.
23
             Was there a witness list exchanged between
24
             the parties?
25
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     No.
```

```
MR. HEWITT:
                                     No.
 1
 2
             THE COURT:
                                     All right. Thank you.
 3
                  Sir, would you mind taking the witness
 4
             stand, please?
 5
                                     GREGORY JOEY JOHNSON,
             having been first duly sworn, was examined
 6
 7
             and testified as follows:
 8
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
 9
   BY MR. MURRAY:
10
        Would you please state your name and city of
11
        residence?
12
        Gregory Johnson. My nickname's Joey. San
   Α
13
        Francisco, California.
        Mr. Johnson, I'm just going to ask you a few
14
15
        questions about what happened on July 20, 2016.
16
        Were you here during the Republican National
17
        Convention in Cleveland, Ohio?
18
        Yes, I was.
   Α
19
   Q
        Did you participate in a protest that involved
20
        burning a flag?
21
        Yes, I did.
22
        Did -- where did -- did that occur on Prospect
   Q
23
        Avenue at around East 4th Street?
24
        That's right.
   Α
25
        Now, we saw a video. Did you use a bullhorn to
```

```
give a speech?
1
2
        Yes, I did.
   Α
3
        And we saw a circle being formed.
4
        explain was a circle?
5
              It was a circle of approximately 20 or so
   Α
        Revolution club members or volunteers that had
 6
7
        come here to protest at the Republican National
        Convention and we had enter-locked arms in the
8
9
        circle.
                 The purpose of it was so that we could
10
        carry out the protest, carry out the flag burning
11
        and do it in a way that was safe for everyone in
12
        the vicinity.
13
        And at the time, that -- did you, in fact -- did
14
        someone, in fact, light the flag that you were
15
        carrying while you were in the middle of that
        circle?
16
17
        Yes.
18
        And at the time that it was lit, was it your
19
        observation that it could be safely done at that
20
        juncture?
21
        Yes.
22
               Then what happened as soon as the flag was
   Q
        Okay.
23
        lit?
24
        As soon as the flag was lit, the police were
   Α
25
        coming through the circle, through the safety
```

```
circle and one of the police was spraying a fire
 1
 2
        extinguisher towards the flag and I turned my
 3
        back to try to prevent the stream of the fire
 4
        extinguisher from hitting the burning flag; and
 5
        as I turned, I was being grabbed from the rear
        and pulled back by police and then put in a choke
 6
 7
        hold and dragged to the ground.
 8
        Now did your -- were your -- did you sustain any
   Q
 9
        burns yourself?
10
        No.
   Α
11
        Was any part of your clothing on fire?
12
   Α
        No.
13
        Now did you observe any of the other protesters
14
        being burned or on fire?
15
   Α
        No.
16
             MR. MURRAY:
                                     May I have one moment,
17
             your Honor?
                          That's all I have. Thank you.
18
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you.
19
                  Mr. Hewitt.
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MR. HEWITT: 3 Mr. Johnson, you were at the flag burning. 4 you aware that other people had suffered injuries 5 as part of this flag burning? 6 Α No. 7 Okay. Mr. Biggs. Are you familiar with that 8 person? 9 I am now. What about Mr. Sulkin? 10 11 I am now. Were you aware that both of these individuals 12 13 received burns? 14 I'm aware that they alleged that they were 15 burned. 16 And you don't know if they received medical 17 treatment for those burnings? 18 I'm aware that they allege that they received 19 medical treatment. 20 Q Okay. 21 That's all I have. MR. HEWITT: 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 MR. MURRAY: I have nothing 24 further. 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

```
Thank you for your testimony very much,
 1
 2
             Mr. Johnson.
                  No further witnesses?
 3
 4
             MR. MURRAY:
                                      No.
                                            That's all. The
 5
             defense have no further testimony or evidence
             to present, your Honor.
 6
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you.
             Mr. Hewitt.
 8
 9
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     The defense has no
10
             further testimony to present.
11
             THE COURT:
                                     Thank you very much.
12
                  This hearing will be concluded today.
13
             I'll allow you to make closing arguments, if
14
             you wish.
15
             MR. MURRAY:
                                      Your Honor, I was
             going to suggest -- I would ask the Court to
16
17
             be permitted to get the transcript of today's
18
             hearing and permit us to file a post hearing
19
             brief.
20
             THE COURT:
                                     We can order the
21
             transcript, so that would be --
22
             MR. HEWITT:
                                     Your Honor --
23
             THE COURT:
                                     -- an easy request
2.4
             to --
25
                                     Well, you've heard the
             MR. HEWITT:
```

1	testimony. I'm prepared to argue the merits
2	right now. So, I I'm offering that as an
3	observation.
4	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
5	Do you have a closing argument at this
6	time?
7	MR. MURRAY: I can do that as well,
8	your Honor, but I would like the opportunity,
9	in addition to that, to file a post-hearing
10	brief once we get a transcript. But, yes.
11	THE COURT: I'll hear what you
12	have to say after he gets done. Okay? Thank
13	you. Put on your closing.
14	You may proceed.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2.4

CLOSING ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT

MR. MURRAY: Thank you, your

Honor. May it please the Court.

You've heard all the testimony. You've seen all the exhibits; and I think one thing that has emerged and that is perfectly clear is what I said in my opening statement. This was a constitutionally-protected protest. It was done in the precise place that the city had set aside for this precise type of activity. It was done in a way that was designed to get their message across to the audience that they wanted to reach.

And you will recall that the City of Cleveland's Division of Police touted the fact that that was one of the most important parts of a 1st Amendment right and they codified it in their Division of Police directive and policy that it was important to protect the right to assemble and to speak and to protest and to do it near the place where you want your message to be heard. So, this group of citizens who had traveled to Cleveland in order to protest and express a viewpoint that they felt very strongly about

and that they believed in and wanted a message to get out. They did everything correctly.

They assembled. They put out a press release indicating where they would be at what time and why they were going to exercise their right to protest. They proceeded to the free speech zone, which the City had set aside and they proceeded to form a circle for the precise purpose of making sure that they could safely carry out their protest, which involved burning an American flag, which for many, many years has been held to be a constitutional-protected activity. And so they locked arms and Mr. Johnson gave his speech and they proceeded to begin to light the flag.

So what happens then? Well, we know that what happens then is nobody had been burned at that juncture. Nobody had been injured at that juncture. No one was in danger of being burned or injured and, in fact, what the Lieutenant did was, he immediately -- and his report is clear, as soon as they began to light the flag, not

2.4

after someone was in danger, not after someone was burned or about to be burned, but as soon as they began to light the flag, he decided that he and Sergeant Stanton would stop that protest in its tracks, burn -- put out the fire that had been lit, the American flag; and you saw what happened after that. What happened after that was caused by what Lieutenant Gaertner and Sergeant Stanton decided to do. Anything that happened after that was because they interfered with a peaceful, lawful, protest involving burning the American flag.

Now, some of the things that are significant is that Chief Williams testified that, yes, they had a right to burn that American flag and if they could do it safely and peacefully, there was and would be no justification for the police to interfere with that protest. And he admitted that that would have been an interference with their constitutional rights.

I think what happened and what clearly is shown by the record and the whole thing is set forth in Lieutenant Gaertner's

2.4

supplemental report. We heard the audio tape where one police officer asks is it legal to burn a flag and he eventually gets the answer that says, no, it's prohibited by code. We have a picture of Julie Leroy being arrested; and what is the charge according to the whiteboard, desecration of the flag.

We have Lieutenant Gaertner's supplemental report, which I think tells the entire tale and he admitted it when I crossexamined him. They got a warning that there was going to be a flag burning protest at East 4th and Prospect. And so, undercover officers pointed out who were going to be in the protest and he and other officers followed them down Euclid Avenue until they got to East 4th and then they went over to Prospect. I'm not sure exactly what the route was, but they followed them and he observed that they formed a circle.

And there was a key part of his report and you'll recall what it is. It had to do with the fact that he said that burning of any object was completely prohibited totally in that zone. And that it had been put out

2.4

in a press release that it was prohibited.

So I asked him. Went to the next sentence.

As soon as they began to light the flag, he rushed in and you saw it. I mean, you saw it with your own eyes. It was instantaneous.

It wasn't waiting for somebody to get hurt and he extinguished the flag. And I asked him and that was because of the fact that burning that flag was prohibited. A prohibited item. That's what he was doing and he admitted it on cross-examination.

And so the Chief who testified at least that the officers should have known that burning a flag was constitutionally-protected, apparently, Lieutenant Gaertner didn't understand that; and so he put a stop to what was a lawful, peaceful, important constitutionally-protected protest and flag burning, imposed a prior restraint and put a halt to our clients rights to express themselves.

If they had been left alone, the protest would have ended with the speech, the flag burning would have ended and nothing would

have happened.

2.4

And you can't excuse it on the theory
that there was a hostile crowd there. The
Court's have made it clear that it's the duty
of the City police to protect the protesters
from the hecklers and from the other crowd.

There was a statement that -- made by
Lieutenant Gaertner that they kept chanting
after he extinguished the flames and they
kept saying they want to overthrow the
government and that was inciting the crowd to
violence. Now I asked him. You mean just by
saying that and he said yes. Just the mere
speech of expressing that opinion he said was
inciting the crowd to violence.

We live in an age and a climate it seems to me, where the right of freedom of speech has never been more important than it is today. And the protest that occurred in July in the City of Cleveland by these defendants to express their disapproval of what the RNC was about to do is among the most important political speech that any citizen can express. Maybe those -- and there are some who disagree agree with that message, but

political speech and assembly is entitled to 1 2 the highest protection of the 1st Amendment. 3 The 1st Amendment is at its zenith when 4 you're talking about political speech. No 5 type of speech or assembly enjoys greater protection than political speech. That's 6 what this was about. That's what happened. And that political speech was stopped, halted 8 9 in its tracks. 10 Instead of the 1st Amendment being 11 vindicated, these defendants were hauled off to jail where they languished for some 12 13 36 hours because they were there to exercise 14 their right and it's wrong; and we therefore, 15 ask you to grant our Motion and dismiss these 16 charges. 17 Thank you. THE COURT: 18 Thank you. 19 Mr. Hewitt. 20 21 22 23 2.4 25

2.4

CLOSING ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

MR. HEWITT: Your Honor, flag
burning is certainly permissible under the
United States and Ohio Constitution. It's a
form of free expression.

This flag burning, unfortunately was not carried out in a safe environment. You know, of course, the police had learned that at least two individuals had been burned. There was a concern for public safety and a very crowded area. People were pressing in on the protesters. Protesters for and against some people want to stop the flag burning, other people were trying to keep the flag burners away.

An individual -- individuals caught fire and we believe defendant Johnson did, but listening to his testimony was that he did not and at that point, the police sprang into action used their fire extinguisher in order to extinguish those flames.

This flag burning was not done in a safe environment. In fact, it was advertised originally to take place at Perk Park and it was -- it later was changed to take place at

4:00 o'clock right at 4th and Prospect. That information wasn't distributed to the Command and, you know, therefore -- you know -- so, at this point, you know, your Honor, we believe that there's authority, of course, for these circumstances.

v. Bellecourt, which is involved protesters burning an effigy of Chief Wahoo! Designed to protest the name of the Cleveland Indians baseball team. The effigy is burned and flames got out of control. This case made its way all the way to the Ohio Supreme Court, which held that the police action in those instances was proper.

You know, we understand that the protesters have a right to protest and indeed the protesters have a right to expect protection. The Bible believers case versus Wayne County, was a 6th Circuit decision, which held that you have a duty to protect those hecklers; and Cleveland indeed has learned a valuable lesson.

A similar demonstration took place on October 10, 2016, at the Browns Patriots

game, when, at the fire fighter Memorial

First Energy Stadium, protesters were

present. They spread out an American flag

and police present -- created a human shield

around them in order to protect them. Of

course, they were getting heckled by both

Browns and Patriot fans. Police had a duty

to maintain order.

Indeed, the defendants, when they were here at a pretrial in December, were on the steps of the Justice Center. They burned several flags then. No action at all was taken by the police and, you know, it was done in a somewhat safe environment, but police action wasn't necessary. Of course, McCardle, the Cleveland occupied case, says that governmental regulations seeking a curfew are proper and constitutional.

In sum, your Honor, the defendants created an urgent dangerous public safety situation, which required police action to protect the safety of others from this reckless fire.

We ask that you rule that these ordinances in which they have been charged

1	are constitutional, the citations were
2	properly issued based on unlawful conduct.
3	Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
5	Mr. Murray, we will advise your office
6	as soon as transcripts are available. You
7	can pick them up enough time in which to
8	respond after you've read the transcripts.
9	How much time, 10, 15 days?
10	MR. MURRAY: Your Honor, could we
11	have 21 days?
12	THE COURT: The City will have the
13	same amount of time to respond after you've
14	had 21 days.
15	MR. MURRAY: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Take care of this
17	before that. 21 days after you receive it.
18	If you'll check with my bailiff on Monday, I
19	suspect it might be ready.
20	MR. MURRAY: Great. Thank you so
21	much, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: If there's nothing
23	else, this matter is adjourned.
24	Thank you everybody for your
25	cooperation.

```
1
                       CERTIFICATE
2
3
   State of Ohio,
   County of Cuyahoga,
                                  SS:
4
   City of Cleveland.
5
6
   City of Cleveland,
7
          VS.
   Salome Artemis Arrant,
8
   Lisa Castonon,
9
   Diya Cruz,
   Edward Diaz,
10
   Courtney Donelson,
   Steven Fridley,
11
   Linda Daitsman,
   Ernestine Hamilton,
12
   Victoria Inquanta,
   Gregory Johnson,
   Richard Newberger,
13
   Zullay Pichardo,
14
   Rafael Schiller-Laden,
   Julie Leroy.
15
16
           I, Demetrius D. Longmire, court reporter, do
17
   hereby certify that as a reporter employed by the
18
   Cleveland Municipal Court, I took down in stenotype
19
   all of the digitally recorded proceedings had in said
20
   Cleveland Municipal Court in the above-entitled case
21
   on the date set forth; that I have transcribed my
22
   said stenotype notes into typewritten form as appears
23
   in the foregoing transcript of the digitally recorded
2.4
   proceedings; that said transcript is a complete
25
   record of the proceedings had in the hearing of said
```

1	case and constitutes a true and correct transcript of
2	the digitally recorded proceedings had therein.
3	
4	Dated this 2nd day of February, 2017.
5	
6	
7	Demetrius D. Longmire
8	
9	
. 0	
.1	
.2	
.3	
. 4	
.5	
-6	
. 7	
. 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	